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## Why coal?

- We need to phase it out urgently
- There are alternatives \& they're better
- Global coal phase-out underway


## Coal phase-out urgency: The challenge is huge

## Coal phase-out: There are alternatives and they're better!

Figure S. 1 Global LCOE of utility-scale renewable power generation technologies, 2010-2018


## A global coal phase-out is underway Powering Past Coal Alliance (PPCA) COP23, Bohn, Nov 2017



Canada and the UK launch a global alliance to phase out coal electricity
commit to "phasing out existing unabated coal power generation and a moratorium on new coal power generation without operational carbon capture and storage"

## Powering Past Coal Alliance (PPCA)



## Powering Past Coal Alliance (PPCA)

22 sub-national

| Austria | Angola |
| :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | Costa Rica |
| Canada | El Salvador |
| Denmark | Ethiopia |
| Finland | Fiji |
| France | Latvia |
| Ireland | Liechtenstein or $p^{\text {a }}$ |
| Israel | Lithuaniao ${ }^{\mathbf{N}}$ |
| Italy | cocolbourg |
| Mexico | NOarshall Islands |
| Netherlands | Niue |
| New Zealand | Senegal |
| Portugal | Switzerland |
| Sweden | Tuvalu |
| United Kingdom | Vanuatu |

Alberta (Canada)
City of Rotterdam (Netherlands)
Balearic Islands (Spain)
South Chungcheong (S.Korea)
Scotland (UK)
Wales (UK)
Washington (US)
Oregon (US)
California (US)
New York (US)
Connecticut (US)
Hawaii (US)
Minnesota (US) 50 GM

## 256 power plants

4.4\% of global coal capacity

# Would coal power plants be retired anyway? <br> The case of United Kingdom 

- Average age of power plants: 47 years (min 28).
- Average recent retirement age: 44 years (min 34 )
- Phase-out planned for 2025.


## Baseline vs premature retirement



## Saves $1.6 \mathrm{GtCO}_{2}$ by 2050

## $1.6 \mathrm{GtCO}_{2}$ by 2050

- $260 \mathrm{GtCO}_{2}$ committed from coal power plants in operation
- $100 \mathrm{GtCO}_{2}$ from plants under construction and planned

Tong et al. 2019

## Effect of PPCA on coal power generation and climate scenarios

(b)


## Effect of PPCA on coal power generation and climate scenarios


OECD

## Can PPCA be expanded to countries with more coal?

1. How much coal is used in electricity?
2. How much coal is imported?
3. How much coal is produced (per capita)?
4. How much coal is used in industry and heating?
5. How old are coal power plants?
6. How fast does the electricity demand grow?
7. How much non-hydro renewables are used?
8. How serious is air pollution?
9. Is the country rich?
10. Is the country member of the EU?
11. How functional is the government?

## How functional is the government?

- Functioning of government (FOG) index
- Absence of undue influence on elected government
- Government transparency
- Checks against political corruption

Freedom House

## PPCA countries versus largest coal consumers

PPCA countries
Austria
Belgium
Canada
Denmark
Finland
France
Ireland
Israel
Italy
Mexico
Netherlands
New Zealand
Portugal
Sweden
United Kingdom

Biggest 18 Coal Consumers > 90\% of coal power

| Australia | Kazakhstan | South Africa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| China | Korea | Spain |
| Czech Republic | Japan | Turkey |
| Germany | Malaysia | Ukraine |
| India | Poland | US |
| Indonesia | Russia | Vietnam |

## PPCA countries produce and use less coal



## PPCA countries have older power plants



## PPCA countries have declining electricity demand



## PPCA countries have less air pollution



## PPCA countries are richer



## PPCA countries have better functioning governments



## PPCA countries

- Produce and use less coal
- Rely on imported coal
- Have older power places
- Have zero elaguicity demand growth
- Are richer
- Have better governments


## What's most important?

- Produce and use less coal
- Are richer and have better governments


## PPCA $=$ little coal + good government



## Germany

Kommission "Wachstum,
Strukturwandel und Beschäftigung"

- Almost same capacity as all PPCA countries together
- Many plants built in the 2000s


Commission for growth, structural change and employment

## Germany

- In 2019, pledged to phase out coal in three steps by 2038
- May avoid up to 1.6 $\mathrm{GtCO}_{2}$



## PPCA new members



## So what can we generalize?



Country type

- PPCA members
$\bigcirc$ PPCA member without pledged phase-out date

Non-PPCA
O Coal18

* Countries with subnational PPCA members

GWe of coal power


## Conclusion

- Coal phase-out is urgent but there are good alternatives and it's an instructive case
- Impact of PPCA is insufficient but Germany's pledge doubles the emission reductions
- The best predictors of joining the Alliance are low costs and high capacities to overcome those costs
- This concept is dynamic and can be used to inform how we analyze the evolution of policy commitments

